WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'bleMrs.UrmitaDatta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'bleMr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No <u>- MA-114 of 2018 (OA-602 of 2014).</u>

Ashoke Kumar Banerjee <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Others.			
Serial No. and Date of order.1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary	
01 14-11-2019	For the Applicants : Mr. J. Dey, Advocate. For the State Respondents : Mr. A. De,	3	
	The instant application has been filed praying for a direction of the respondent to review the dismissal order in the departmental proceeding passed by the District Land & Land Reforms Officer and praying for the following order:-		
	a) Direction be made upon the respondents particularly the Principal Secretary and/or Land Reforms Commissioner, Land and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of West Bengal to review the dismissal order in the departmental proceeding passed by the District Land and Land Reforms Officer,		

	Ashoke Kumar Banerjee.
orm No.	

Form No. ••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA-114 of 2018 (OA-602 of 2014).

Case No. MA-114 of 2		0.66
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	Hooghly on 14th June, 2000 and	3
	also the order of the Learned	
	Appellate Authority passed on 04-	
	07-2006 in Misc. Case No. 22 of	
	2006, such review be made by the	
	authority (L.R.C) in terms of the	
	Hon'ble Tribunal order dated 24-08-	
	2011 passed in OA No. 282 of	
	2011wherein theHon'ble Tribunal	
	was pleased to observed that there	
	are no such prayer for	
	consideration was made by the	
	petitioner after obtaining an order	
	of acquittal from the Learned Judge	
	Special Court, order dated 21-05-	
	2010 against the charges of	
	Criminal Case U/S 409 and U/S	
	468 of the I.P.C. in the Special	
	Court Case No. 4 of 1996.	
	b) Further direction be made upon	
	the authority to release the	
	provident fund dues, gratuity and	
	admissible leave encashment,	
	admissible retirement benefits and	

Ashoke Kumar Banerjee. Form No. ••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1		of parties when necessary 3
	other pending dues forthwith along	
	with 12% interest;	
	c) Any other order or orders,	
	direction or directions;	
	d) Call for the records in	
	connection with the aforesaid case;	
	As per the applicant against the final order, he	
	preferred an appeal before the Appellate	
	Authority on 04-07-2006. In the mean time, the	
	applicant was acquitted from the criminal case	
	vide order dated 25-01-2010. Subsequently, he	
	had approached this Tribunal in OA No. 282 of	
	2011 and vide their order dated 24-08-2011 had	
	observed that as the applicant did not approach	
	the authority for consideration of his	
	reinstatement in the service after the acquittal.	
	Therefore the OA was disposed of with a	
	direction to the applicant to approach the	
	authority for reconsideration of his case.	
	Subsequently the applicant approach before the	
	authority on 15-07-2010 for reconsideration of	
	his case on the basis of acquittal order. Even	
	then no decision has been communicated to the	

Ashoke Kumar Banerjee.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA-114 of 2018 (OA-602 of 2014).

Form No.

Order of the Tribunalwith signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature
	of parties when necessary 3
applicant. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the	
instant application.	
During the course of the hearing the	
Counsel for the respondent has submitted that	
they have preferred one criminal appeal No.	
CRM SPL 16 of 2015. Therefore the Counsel for	
the applicant has submitted that though as per	
the respondent one criminal case was filed in	
2015 but till today the said appeal has not been	
admitted even no steps has been taken by the	
respondents. Thus his representation may be	
considered as per the Trial Court's Order. The	
departmental representatives of the respondent	
have submitted that he has not got any	
information of the latest status of the criminal	
appeal. Therefore he has not in a position to	
make any comments.	
In view of the above, the respondents are	
directed to take decision subject to the outcome	
of the criminal appeal within a period of 12	
weeks from the date of receipt of this order. As	
till date the criminal appeal even has not been	
	applicant. Being aggrieved with, he has filed the instant application. During the course of the hearing the Counsel for the respondent has submitted that they have preferred one criminal appeal No. CRM SPL 16 of 2015. Therefore the Counsel for the applicant has submitted that though as per the respondent one criminal case was filed in 2015 but till today the said appeal has not been admitted even no steps has been taken by the respondents. Thus his representation may be considered as per the Trial Court's Order. The departmental representatives of the respondent have submitted that he has not got any information of the latest status of the criminal appeal. Therefore he has not in a position to make any comments. In view of the above, the respondents are directed to take decision subject to the outcome of the criminal appeal within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of this order. As

	Ashoke Kumar Banerjee.
Form No.	

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. MA-114 of 2018 (OA-602 of 2014).			
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunalwith signature	Office action with date	
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary	
1		3	
	admitted. Therefore the OA is disposed of with		
	the above observations and direction with no		
	order as to cost.		
	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN)		
	MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)		
Mihir			